

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/053,869 01/24/2002		01/24/2002	Hiroshi Nagasawa	NAGASAWA=7	5352	
1444	7590	12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.				FORMAN, BETTY J		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				1634		
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10 053 869					_
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	brack
				1103	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Pat nts

The amendment filed on 22 September 2003 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because as stated in the Office Action of 21 April 2003, the inventions of Group I and Group II are patentably distinct i.e. a search of the subject matter of Group I encompasses a search of substrates, substrate configuration, probe carriers, probe carrier arrangement and probe carrier association with membranes and/or nonwoven fabric. In contrast, a search of the subject matter of Group II would encompass a search of detection systems, substrates, sample flow speed, sample flow timing, and fluorescent detectors. As such, a search for the subject matter of Group I would not begin to encompass the search for the subject matter of Group II.

Furthermore, Claim 5 (Group II) is drawn to a detection system adapted to flow a sample and detect an analyte. The claim is does not recite that the system comprises the reaction probe chip of Claim 1 and further more does not recite that the system comprises the limitation of the reaction probe chip. Claim 5 merely recites a detection system adapted to flow a sample....through...a substrate which is interpreted as being drawn to a use of a substrate, which is one, and only one component of the reaction probe chip of Claim 1 (Group II). For the reasons stated above, the inventions of Groups I and II are patentably distinct.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

BJ Forman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634